

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 6335 of 2021**

Sandeep Prasad ... Petitioner
 Versus
The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajan Sahay, Advocate
For the State : Mr. V.S. Sahay, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 08.09.2021

Heard the parties through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner personally undertakes to remove the defects as pointed out by the stamp reporter within two weeks after the lockdown period is over.

In view of the personal undertaking of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the defects pointed out by the stamp reporter are ignored for the present.

Apprehending his arrest, the petitioner has moved this Court for grant of privilege of anticipatory bail in connection with Kanke P.S. Case No.31 of 2021 registered under section 494/420/498A/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to implead the informant as opposite party no.2 in this anticipatory bail application.

Permission is accorded.

The petitioner is directed to incorporate the name of the informant as opposite party no.2 in this anticipatory bail application within one week after the lockdown period is over.

Let notice be issued to the newly impleaded opposite party no.2 under registered cover with A/D as well as under ordinary process for which requisites etc. must be filed within two weeks by the petitioner, failing which, this anticipatory bail application shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Bench.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the husband of the informant-opposite party no.2 and the

allegations against the petitioner are all false and are general and omnibus in nature. It is then submitted that because of marital discord between the petitioner and the informant-opposite party no.2, this false case has been foisted against the petitioner only to harass him. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

List this case on 23.11.2021.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to pass an interim order of anticipatory bail *provisionally* till 23.11.2021. In case of the petitioner being arrested by the police on or before 23.11.2021, he shall be released on bail *provisionally* on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the officer concerned in connection with Kanke P.S. Case No.31 of 2021 subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Sonu/Gunjan-