

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
A.B. A. No. 6291 of 2021

1. Lakhan Yadav  
2. Sarwan Yadav  
3. Pawan Kumar  
4. Pramod Saw ... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand ... Opposite Party

**Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY**

For the Petitioners : Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey ,Adv.  
For the State : Mrs. Lily Sahay ,Addl. P.P.

03 / 03.09.2021

Heard the parties through Video Conferencing.

Apprehending their arrest, the petitioners have moved this Court for grant of privilege of anticipatory bail in connection with Ichak P.S. Case No. 180 of 2020 registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342, 323, 337, 338, 435, 307, 353, 332, 333, 427, 511 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 2/3/4 of the Jharkhand State Epidemic Disease (COVID-19) Regulations Act, 2020 and Section 51 of the Disaster Management Act.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioners is that consequent upon the death of a person in a road accident and serious injury being sustained by another person, the case was registered and the police was investigating the case then, the petitioners being the members of an unlawful assembly, armed with deadly weapons, obstructed the road and misbehaved with the passersby and pelted stones on the police team and assaulted the police personnel using criminal force against them and tried to snatch their arms. It is then submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are all false. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent, as mentioned in paragraph 13 of the anticipatory bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioners are ready to co-operate with the investigation of the case

and also ready and willing to furnish sufficient including cash security and co-accused with similar allegations have already been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by this court vide orders dated 25.03.2021 passed in ABA no. 1237 of 2021. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners undertake not to annoy or disturb the informant in any manner during pendency of the case hence, the petitioners be given the privilege of anticipatory bail.

The learned Addl. PP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

Considering aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Hence, in the event of arrest by the police or surrender within a period of six weeks from the date of this order, the petitioners shall be released on bail on furnishing cash security of Rs. 5,000/- each and on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned SDJM, Hazaribag in connection with Ichak P.S. Case No. 180 of 2020 subject to the condition that the petitioners will not annoy or disturb the informant in any manner during pendency of the case and will co-operate with the Investigation of the case and will appear before the Investigating Officer as and when noticed by him and will submit mobile number and photocopy of Aadhaar card at the time of surrender in the court below with an undertaking not to change mobile number during the pendency of the case along with the other conditions laid down under section 438 (2) Cr. P.C.

**(ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY, J.)**

Smita/-